

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,840	11/25/2003	T. Clare Huang	42053.86USPT	8196
75	90 09/27/2005		EXAM	INER
Shell Oil Company			MOORE, MARGARET G	
P.O. Box 2463	•			
Houston, TX 77252-2463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 00/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Υ,							
(1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Community	10/722,840	HUANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Margaret G. Moore	1712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (136), cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 S	September 2005.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under the	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			٠				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 6, 8 to 22, 24 to 28 and 30 to 37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 6, 8 to 22, 24 to 28 and 30 to 37</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<del></del>	· · · · · · · ·				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office A	ction Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20050921				

Application/Control Number: 10/722,840 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claims 1 to 6, 8, 9, 12 to 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klayder et al.
- 3. Claims 16, 17, 21, 22, 24 to 28, 30, 31 and 34 to 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klayder et al.
- 4. Both of these rejections are based on the rationale of record and as such this will not be repeated. Applicants have amended claim 1 such that the wetting agent must be a polyalkyleneoxide modified heptamethyltrisiloxane. Applicants argue that the Silwet 7606 used in Example 2 does not meet this wetting agent. The bottom of column 4 in Klayder et al. teach, as a preferred surfactant that can be used in this composition, Silwet 7607. As can be seen from the supporting evidence in Mondin et al., the bottom of column 10, Silwet 7607 is a polyalkyleneoxide modified heptamethyltrisiloxane. Klayder et al. teach a very limited number of surfactants that can be added to the composition therein and in view of this limited selection Klayder et al. anticipate the use of Silwet 7607. As such this amendment is not sufficient to overcome the teachings in Klayder et al.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mondin et al.

Mondin et al. teach a microemulsion composition. Particular attention is drawn to the examples such as D and E which contain Silwet 7608 (polyalkylene oxide modified heptamethyltrisiloxane) and a silicone antifoam. This meets the requirements of claims 1, 3, 6 and 8. Note the additional surfactants, such as the nonionic defoamer, which meet claim 5. Column 13, lines 19 and 20, teach that this is a sprayable composition that can be in an aerosol container. This anticipates claims 18 and 19.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,840 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

6. Claims 10, 11, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mondin et al.

For claims 16 and 17, column 13, line 20, teaches packaging the product in a pump type sprayer container. The selection of conventional plastic bottles such as PVC or PET for the sprayer in Mondin et al. would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. This is comparable to the rationale noted in paragraph 7 of the office action dated 9/28/04.

For claims 10 and 11, Mondin et al. do not expressly teach the addition of a propellant; however, one would necessarily be present in an aerosol container. In lack of any express teaching as to what type of propellant to select, the skilled artisan would have been motivated to select one that is known and commonly used in the art. In addition, one would have been directed towards propellants that are approved by the EPA for use in aerosol formulations. 1,1,1,2-tetra fluoroethane is such a propellant (see applicants' admission on page 5 of the specification). As such one having ordinary skill in the art would have found the selection of such a propellant to have been obvious.

7. Claims 1 to 6, 8 to 12, 14 to 19, 21, 22, 24 to 28, 30 to 34, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Estes in view of Parkinson et al.

The teachings in Estes have been detailed in previous office actions. Generally Estes teaches a rubber protectant which contains a silicone microemulsion (top of col. 2 and various working examples). The silicone fluid has a viscosity within the range of claims 2 and 4 and can have amino functional groups (column 2, lines 3 to 35). This composition contains surfactants (column 2, line 66 and on) as well as antifoaming agents, corrosion inhibitors, freezing point depressants (column 6, lines 57 to 63). The composition can be either an aerosol or a spray in a pump or trigger container. Again, note the details of the previous rejections as they presently apply to each of the claims.

Estes teaches on column 12, line 38, that various wetting agents can be added to improve wetting and make application easier. Estes does not specifically teach polyalkyleneoxide modified heptamethyltrisiloxane wetting agent.

Art Unit: 1712

Parkinson et al. teach treatment compositions for various surfaces such as rubbers. This composition is a silicone emulsion. Column 6 teaches various wetting agents and the benefits thereof, such as uniform coating and improved penetration. Among the wetting agents are Silwet L-77 and 7607, which are polyalkyleneoxide modified heptamethyltrisiloxanes. (Again, this is supported by the teachings in Mondin et al. Note too column 3, lines 28 to 34 in 6,221,811) As can be seen from the performance rating of these wetting agents, they provide benefits and desired wetting properties to the composition of Parkinson et al.

Thus one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the teachings in Parkinson et al. to add a polyalkyleneoxide modified heptamethyltrisiloxane wetting agent to the composition of Estes et al. in an effort to obtain the properties and benefits thereof. It is <u>prima facie</u> obvious to add a known ingredient to a known composition for its known function. In this manner the instant claims are rendered obvious.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margarer G. Moor Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712